

PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH SEMI-QUASIELLIPTIC SYMBOLS OVER p -ADIC FIELDS

J. GALEANO-PENALOZA AND W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study pseudo-differential operators with a semi-quasielliptic symbols, which are extensions of operators of the form

$$\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)\phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|F(\xi, x)|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi)),$$

where $\alpha > 0$, ϕ is a function of Lizorkin type and $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform, and $F(\xi, x) = f(\xi) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \xi^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, where $f(\xi)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d , and each $c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)$ is a function from $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ into $\mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying $\|c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. We determine the function spaces where the equations $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)u = v$ have solutions. We introduce the space of infinitely pseudo-differentiable functions with respect to a semi-quasielliptic operator. By using these spaces we show the existence of a regularization effect for certain parabolic equations over p -adics.

1. INTRODUCTION

The p -adic pseudo-differential equations have received a lot of attention during the last ten years due mainly to fact that some of these equations appeared in certain new physical models, see e.g. [4, and references therein], [1, and references therein], [7, and references therein], [12]. There are two motivations behind this article. The first one is to understand the connection between the p -adic Lizorkin spaces introduced by Albeverio, Khrennikov, Shelkovich in [2] and the function spaces introduced by Rodríguez-Vega and Zúñiga-Galindo in [9]. In [5, and references therein] Gindikin and Volevich developed the method of Newton's polyhedron for some problems in the theory of partial differential equations. Since in the p -adic setting the method of Newton's polyhedron has been widely used in arithmetic and geometric problems, see. e.g. [11, and references therein], [14, and references therein], it is natural to ask: does a p -adic counterpart of the Gindikin-Volevich results exist? This is our second motivation.

In this article, motivated by the work of Gindikin and Volevich [5], we study pseudo-differential operators with a *semi-quasielliptic symbols*, which are extensions of operators of the form

$$\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)\phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|F(\xi, x)|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi)),$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 35S05, 35K90, Secondary: 46S10.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-differential operators, quasielliptic polynomials, semi-quasielliptic polynomials, regularization effect on parabolic equations, functional analysis over p -adic numbers.

The second author was partially supported by CONACYT under Grant # 127794.

where $\alpha > 0$, ϕ is a function of Lizorkin type and $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform, and

$$F(\xi, x) = f(\xi) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \xi^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n],$$

where $f(\xi)$ is a *quasielliptic polynomial* of degree d , see Definition 3.1, and each $c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)$ is a function from $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ into $\mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying $\|c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, see Definition 4.1. We determine the function spaces where the equations $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)u = v$ have solutions.

In Sections 3-4, we study the properties of *quasielliptic and semi-quasielliptic polynomials*. We establish similar inequalities for the symbols like ones presented in [5], see Theorems 3.10, 4.2. The techniques used in [5] cannot be used directly in the p -adic case, as far as we understand, and then we follow a completely different approach.

In Section 5, we study equations of type $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = v$, whose symbol is a quasielliptic polynomial. These quasielliptic operators are a generalization of the elliptic operators introduced in [13], see also [9], [6]. We introduce new function spaces $\tilde{H}_\beta(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ which are constructed as completions of certain Lizorkin spaces with respect to a norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$, see Definition 5.1. The spaces $\tilde{H}_\beta(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ are p -adic analogs of the function spaces introduced in [5]. We show that if $v \in \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$, with $\beta \geq \alpha$, then $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = v$ has a unique solution $u \in \tilde{H}_\beta$, see Theorem 5.5. We also introduce the space $\tilde{H}_\infty(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, which is the space of *infinitely pseudo-differentiable functions with respect to $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$* , we show that $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty$, $\phi \rightarrow \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi$ gives a bicontinuous isomorphism between locally convex vector spaces, see Theorem 5.9. A similar result is also valid for the Taibleson operator, see Remark 5.10. The space $\tilde{H}_\infty$ contains functions of type $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Psi(x \cdot \xi) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} d^n \xi$, $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Psi(x \cdot \xi) e^{-t\|\xi\|_p^\alpha} d^n \xi$, see Sections 6.1, 6.2, which are solutions of the n -dimensional heat equation, [12], [7], [13], [8], see Theorem 6.4 and Remark 6.5.

By using the space $\tilde{H}_\infty$, we establish the existence of a *regularization effect* in certain parabolic equations. More precisely, if $\mathbf{f}(D, \alpha)$ is an elliptic pseudo-differential operator, or the Taibleson operator, and if $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) \cap C_b(\mathbb{Q}_p^n, \mathbb{C})$, then the solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{f}(D, \alpha)u)(x, t) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \end{cases}$$

$u(x, t)$ belongs to $\tilde{H}_\infty$, for every fixed $t > 0$, see Theorem 6.9 and Remark 6.10.

In Section 7 we determine the function spaces $\tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$, see Definition 7.1, where the equation $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)u = v$ has a unique solution, see Theorem 7.4. Finally, we construct the space of infinitely pseudo-differentiable functions with respect to $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)$, see Theorem 7.5.

We now return to our initial motivations. We introduce four new types of function spaces: $\tilde{H}_\beta$, $\tilde{H}_\infty$, $\tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$, $\tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)}$. Each space of type $\tilde{H}_\beta$ contains a dense copy of the Lizorkin space of second class introduced in [2], furthermore, the spaces $\tilde{H}_\beta$ are similar, but not equal, to the l -singular Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}^l$ introduced in [9], the other spaces are new. It is important to mention that the regularization effect in parabolic equations appear only in the spaces $\tilde{H}_\infty$, and not in the Lizorkin spaces.

Finally, after the results presented here, we strongly believe that the geometric point of view of Gindikin and Volevich in PDE's have a meaningful and non-trivial counterpart in the p -adic setting.

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank to Sergii Torba for his careful reading of the paper and for his constructive remarks.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fixing the notation. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the field of p -adic numbers and by $\mathbb{Z}_p$ the ring of p -adic integers. For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\text{ord}(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ denotes the valuation of x , and $|x|_p = p^{-\text{ord}(x)}$ its absolute value. We extend this absolute value to $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ by taking $\|x\|_p = \max_i |x_i|_p$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$.

Let $\Psi : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the additive character defined by

$$\Psi : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ b \mapsto \exp(2\pi i b).$$

Let $V = \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ be the n -dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space and V' its algebraic dual vector space. We identify V' with $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ via the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -bilinear form

$$x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n,$$

$x \in V = \mathbb{Q}_p^n$, $y \in V' = \mathbb{Q}_p^n$. Now we identify V' with the topological dual V^* of V (i.e. the group of all continuous additive characters on $(V, +)$) as right $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces by means of the pairing $\Psi(x \cdot y)$. The Haar measure dx is autodual with respect to this pairing.

We denote the space of all Schwartz–Bruhat functions on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ by $S := S(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. For $\phi \in S$, we define its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\phi$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}\phi)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Psi(-x \cdot \xi) \phi(x) d^n x.$$

Then the Fourier transform induces a linear isomorphism of S onto S and the inverse Fourier transform is given by

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Psi(x \cdot \xi) \varphi(\xi) d^n \xi.$$

The map $\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\phi$ is an L^2 -isometry on $L^1 \cap L^2$, which is a dense subspace of L^2 .

A p -adic pseudo-differential operator $f(D, \alpha)$, with symbol $|f|_p^\alpha$, $f : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\alpha > 0$, is an operator of the form

$$f(D, \alpha)(\phi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|f|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi))$$

for $\phi \in S$.

3. QUASIELLIPTIC POLYNOMIALS

In this section, motivated by [5] and [13], we introduce the quasielliptic polynomials in a p -adic setting and give some basic properties that we will use later on.

Definition 3.1. Let $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ be a non-constant polynomial. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^n$. We say that $f(\xi)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}$, if the two following conditions hold:

(Q1) $f(\lambda^{w_1} \xi_1, \dots, \lambda^{w_n} \xi_n) = \lambda^d f(\xi)$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$,

(Q2) $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0$.

Given $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^m$ the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ formed by the m -th powers.

Remark 3.2. (1) The elliptic quadratic forms are quasielliptic polynomials of degree 2 with respect to $\mathbf{w} = (1, \dots, 1)$, see [3] and [7]. The elliptic polynomials introduced in [13] are quasielliptic polynomials of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w} = (1, \dots, 1)$.

(2) If $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}$, then $(f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))^a - \tau \xi_{n+1}^d$, with $\text{g.c.d.}(a, d) > 1$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^{\text{g.c.d.}(a, d)}$, is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree da with respect to $(w_1, \dots, w_n, a)$. In particular there exist infinitely many quasielliptic polynomials.

(3) Note that if $f(\xi)$ is quasielliptic then $cf(\xi)$, $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, is also quasielliptic. For this reason, we will assume that all quasielliptic polynomials have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

From now on, we assume that $f(\xi)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}$.

Lemma 3.3. Any quasielliptic polynomial $f(\xi)$ can be written uniquely as

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \xi_i^{\frac{d}{w_i}} + h(\xi),$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $h(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, in addition, $h(\xi)$ does not contain any monomial of the form $c_i \xi_i^{a_i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. By induction on n , the number of variables. The case $n = 1$ is clear. Assume by induction hypothesis the result for polynomials in $n \leq k$, $k \geq 1$, variables. Let $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$ be a quasielliptic polynomial satisfying lemma's hypotheses. Note that

$$f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) = \xi_{k+1}^a h_0(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) + h_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k),$$

for some polynomials $h_0 \neq 0$ and $h_1 \neq 0$, since $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1})$ is quasielliptic. In addition $h_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}' = (w_1, \dots, w_k)$. Indeed, condition (Q1) is clear. To verify condition (Q2), we note that if $h_1(\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_k) = 0$, then $(\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_k)$ satisfies $f(\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_k, 0) = 0$ and since $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1})$ is quasielliptic, $\xi'_1 = \dots = \xi'_k = 0$. By applying the induction hypothesis to $h_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$, one gets

$$(3.1) \quad f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) = \xi_{k+1}^a h_0(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \xi_i^{\frac{d}{w_i}} + h_2(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k),$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, $\frac{d}{w_i} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $h_2(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k]$.

We now note that $f(0, \dots, 0, \xi_{k+1}) \neq 0$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to w_{k+1} and by the induction hypothesis with $n = 1$,

$$(3.2) \quad f(0, \dots, 0, \xi_{k+1}) = c_{k+1} \xi_{k+1}^{\frac{d}{w_{k+1}}} = \xi_{k+1}^a h_0(0, \dots, 0, \xi_{k+1}).$$

The result follows from (3.1)-(3.2) by noting that

$$h_0(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) = h_0(0, \dots, 0, \xi_{k+1}) + h_3(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}),$$

where $h_3(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$ does not contain any monomial of the form $b_{k+1}\xi_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}}$, and that

$$\xi_{k+1}^a h_0(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) = c_{k+1} \xi_{k+1}^{\frac{d}{w_{k+1}}} + h_4(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}),$$

where $c_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_4(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}]$. $\square$

Definition 3.4. Following Gindikin and Volevich [5], we attach to $f(\xi)$ the function

$$\Xi(\xi) := \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|_p^{\frac{d}{w_i}}.$$

3.1. A basic estimate. In this paragraph we establish some inequalities involving quasielliptic polynomials that will play the central role in the next sections. We need some preliminary results.

Set $T := \{-1, 1\}^n$. Given $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T$, we define the $\mathbf{j}$ -orthant of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ to be

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j}) = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; \text{ord}(\xi_i) < 0 \Leftrightarrow j_i = -1\}.$$

Note that if $\mathbf{j} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then $\mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

Define for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(l, \mathbf{j}) : \mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^n \\ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) &\mapsto (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} p^{lw_i} \xi_i & \text{if } j_i = -1, \\ \xi_i & \text{if } j_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $d(l, \mathbf{j}) \circ d(l', \mathbf{j}) = d(l + l', \mathbf{j})$, whenever $d(l', \mathbf{j})(\mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j})) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j})$.

For $\mathbf{j} \neq (1, \dots, 1)$, set

$$U_{n, \mathbf{j}} := \{(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n; \text{there exists } i \text{ such that } j_i = -1 \text{ and } \text{ord}(\eta_i) \leq w_i - 1\}.$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathbf{j} \in T$ such that $\mathbf{j} \neq (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then the following assertions hold:

$$(1) \mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j}) \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} d(-l, \mathbf{j}) U_{n, \mathbf{j}};$$

$$(2) U_{n, \mathbf{j}} \text{ is a compact subset of } \mathbb{Z}_p^n.$$

Proof. (1) By renaming the variables, we may assume that

$$\mathbf{j} = \left(-1, \dots, \underbrace{-1}_{r\text{-th place}}, 1, \dots, 1 \right), \text{ with } 1 \leq r \leq n.$$

Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j})$, with $\xi_i = p^{m_i} v_i$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_i < 0$, $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\xi_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, for $i = r+1, \dots, n$. Set $m_i = q_i w_i + r_i$, with $0 \leq r_i \leq w_i - 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Note that the q_i 's are negative.

Claim A. If $l_\xi := \max_i \{-q_i; 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, then $d(l_\xi, \mathbf{j})\xi \in U_{n, \mathbf{j}}$.

Since $l_\xi w_i + m_i \geq 0$, $p^{l_\xi w_i} \xi_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, then

$$d(l_\xi, \mathbf{j})\xi = (p^{l_\xi w_1} \xi_1, \dots, p^{l_\xi w_r} \xi_r, \xi_{r+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n.$$

In addition, there exists i_0 such that $l_\xi = -q_{i_0}$ and

$$\text{ord}(p^{l_\xi w_{i_0}} \xi_{i_0}) = -q_{i_0} w_{i_0} + m_{i_0} = r_{i_0} \leq w_{i_0} - 1.$$

From Claim A follows that $\mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j}) \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} d(-l, \mathbf{j}) U_{n, \mathbf{j}}$. To establish (1) it is sufficient to show that

Claim B.

$$D(l, l', \mathbf{j}) := d(-l, \mathbf{j}) U_{n, \mathbf{j}} \cap d(-l', \mathbf{j}) U_{n, \mathbf{j}} = \emptyset, \text{ if } l \neq l'.$$

Assume that $l' - l < 0$ and that $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in D(l, l', \mathbf{j})$. Then $\xi = d(-l, \mathbf{j}) \eta = d(-l', \mathbf{j}) \eta'$, for some $\eta, \eta' \in U_{n, \mathbf{j}}$ and $d(l' - l, \mathbf{j}) \eta = \eta'$, therefore $p^{(l' - l)w_i} \eta_i = \eta'_i$ for $j_i = -1$. Since there exists i_0 , with $j_{i_0} = -1$, such that $\text{ord}(\eta_{i_0}) \leq w_{i_0} - 1$, then $\eta'_{i_0} = p^{(l' - l)w_{i_0}} \eta_{i_0}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(\eta'_{i_0}) &= (l' - l)w_{i_0} + \text{ord}(\eta_{i_0}) \\ &\leq (l' - l)w_{i_0} + w_{i_0} - 1 \\ &\leq w_{i_0}(l' - l + 1) - 1 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting $\eta'_{i_0} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

(2) Note that

$$U_{n, \mathbf{j}} = \bigsqcup_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, I \neq \emptyset} \{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n; \text{ord}(\eta_i) \leq w_i - 1 \text{ and } j_i = -1 \Leftrightarrow i \in I\}.$$

By renaming the variables, we may assume $I = \{1, \dots, r\}$, $1 \leq r \leq n$, then

$$\{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n; \text{ord}(\eta_i) \leq w_i - 1 \text{ and } j_i = -1 \Leftrightarrow i \in I\} = \prod_{i=1}^r p^{w_i - 1} \mathbb{Z}_p \times (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n-r},$$

which is a compact set, therefore $U_{n, \mathbf{j}}$ is compact subset of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. $\square$

Set

$$V_{n, \mathbf{j}} := \{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n; \text{there exists an index } i \text{ such that } \text{ord}(\eta_i) \leq w_i - 1\}.$$

Set $\mathbf{j} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Note that $\mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} d(l, \mathbf{j}) : \mathbb{Z}_p^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^n \\ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) &\mapsto (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_i = p^{lw_i} \xi_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 3.6. *Set $\mathbf{j} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then the following assertions hold:*

$$(1) \mathbb{Z}_p^n = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} d(l, \mathbf{j}) V_{n, \mathbf{j}};$$

$$(2) V_{n, \mathbf{j}} \text{ is a compact subset of } \mathbb{Z}_p^n.$$

Proof. (1)-(2) Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, with $\xi = p^{m_i} v_i$, $m_i \geq 0$, $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Set $m_i = q_i w_i + r_i$, with $0 \leq r_i \leq w_i - 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $l_\xi := \min\{q_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. The result follows by using the reasoning given in the proof of Lemma 3.5. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. (1) Let $g(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ be a non-constant polynomial satisfying

$$(3.3) \quad g(\xi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = 0.$$

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ be a compact subset such that $0 \notin A$. There exists $M = M(A, g) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$|g(\xi)|_p \geq p^{-M}, \text{ for any } \xi \in A.$$

(2) There exist a constant $R \in \mathbb{N}$, and a finite number of points $\tilde{\xi}_i \in A$ such that if $B_{M+1+R}(\tilde{\xi}_i) := \tilde{\xi}_i + (p^{M+1+R}\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$, then $A = \cup_i B_{M+1+R}(\tilde{\xi}_i)$ and

$$|g(\xi)|_p|_{B_{M+1+R}(\tilde{\xi}_i)} = |g(\tilde{\xi}_i)|_p,$$

for every i .

Proof. (1) By contradiction, assume the existence of a sequence $\xi^{(n)}$ of points of A such that $|g(\xi^{(n)})|_p \leq p^{-n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A is compact, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have $\xi^{(n)} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi} \in A$, and thus $\tilde{\xi} \neq 0$. On other hand, by continuity $g(\tilde{\xi}) = 0$, contradicting (3.3).

(2) Let $\tilde{\xi}_i \in A$ be a given point. Then

$$g(\tilde{\xi}_i + p^{M+1}\eta) = g(\tilde{\xi}_i) + p^{M+1}h_i(\eta),$$

where $h_i(\eta) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\eta]$ satisfying $h_i(0) = 0$. By continuity, there exists $R_i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that if $\eta \in (p^{R_i}\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ then $|h_i(\eta)|_p \leq 1$. Hence for any natural number $R \geq R_i$, we have

$$|g(\tilde{\xi}_i + p^{M+1}\eta)|_p = |g(\tilde{\xi}_i) + p^{M+1}h_i(\eta)|_p = |g(\tilde{\xi}_i)|_p,$$

for any $\eta \in (p^R\mathbb{Z}_p)^n \subset (p^{R_i}\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$. Since A is compact, there exists a finite number of points $\tilde{\xi}_i \in A$, such that $\tilde{\xi}_i + (p^{M+1+R}\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, is a covering of A . We take $R := \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} R_i$. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. There exist positive constants A_0, A_1 such that

$$(3.4) \quad A_0\Xi(\xi) \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq A_1\Xi(\xi), \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p \geq p.$$

Proof. Since $\{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; \|\xi\|_p \geq p\} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{j \neq (1,1,\dots,1)} \mathbb{Q}_p^n(j)$, by Lemma 3.5, it is sufficient to show inequality (3.4) for $\xi \in d(-l, j)U_{n,j}$, for $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By renaming the variables we may assume that

$$j = \left(-1, \dots, \underbrace{-1}_{r\text{-th place}}, 1, \dots, 1 \right), \text{ with } 1 \leq r \leq n,$$

and that $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = d(-l, j)\eta$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in U_{n,j}$, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.

$$\xi_i = \begin{cases} p^{-lw_i}\eta_i & \eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ \eta_i & \eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad r+1 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and, there exists an index i_0 such that $\eta_{i_0} = p^{(w_{i_0}-1)}v$, $v \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

If $r < n$, we write $f(\xi) = f_j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) + t(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, where $f_j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}' = (w_1, \dots, w_r)$. By Lemma 3.3, $f_j(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \xi_i^{\frac{d}{w_i}} + h(\xi)$, therefore

$$(3.5) \quad |f(\xi)|_p = |p^{-ld} f_j(\eta) + p^{-la} t_1(\eta)|_p,$$

with $t_1(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\eta]$, $a < d$, since $r < n$. By Lemma 3.7, if $l > \frac{M}{d-a}$, then $|f(\xi)|_p = p^{ld} |f_j(\eta)|_p$ and

$$p^{ld} \inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq p^{ld} \sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p.$$

Since $\|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}$, with $M_0 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $M_0 > \frac{M(w_1 + \dots + w_n)}{(d-a)}$, implies that $l > \frac{M}{d-a}$, the previous inequality can be re-written as

$$(3.6) \quad p^{ld} \inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq p^{ld} \sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p, \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}.$$

Note that by Lemma 3.7, $\inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p$ and $\sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p$ are positive numbers.

We now take $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j})$ satisfying $\|\xi\|_p = p^{l_0}$ with $1 \leq l_0 < M_0$. By (3.5) with $l = l_0$ one gets $|f(\xi)|_p = p^{l_0 d} |g_{j,l_0}(\eta)|_p$, and by applying Lemma 3.7 to $g_{j,l_0}(\eta)$ and $U_{n,j}$,

$$(3.7) \quad C_1(l_0) p^{l_0 d} \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq C_2(l_0) p^{l_0 d},$$

for some positive constants $C_1(l_0)$, $C_2(l_0)$. By combining (3.6)-(3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad C_1 p^{ld} \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq C_2 p^{ld},$$

for some positive constants C_1 , C_2 .

If $r = n$, then $\xi_i = p^{-lw_i} \eta_i$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $|f(\xi)|_p = p^{ld} |f(\eta)|_p$, and thus inequality (3.8) holds.

On the other hand,

$$\Xi(\xi) = p^{ld} \sum_{i=1}^r |\eta_i|_p^{\frac{d}{w_i}} + \sum_{i=r+1}^n |\eta_i|_p^{\frac{d}{w_i}}, \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n,$$

therefore

$$(3.9) \quad \Xi(\xi) \leq np^{ld},$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi(\xi) &\geq p^{ld} \left(\sum_{\{i: \text{ord}(\eta_i) \leq w_i - 1\}} |\eta_i|_p^{\frac{d}{w_i}} \right) \\ &\geq p^{ld} \min_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} \left(\sum_{\{i: \text{ord}(\eta_i) \leq w_i - 1\}} p^{-\frac{(w_i - 1)d}{w_i}} \right) \geq C_1 p^{ld}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant. The result follows from inequalities (3.8)-(3.12). $\square$

Proposition 3.9. *There exist positive constants A_0 , A_1 , such that*

$$(3.11) \quad A_0 \Xi(\xi) \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq A_1 \Xi(\xi), \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p \leq 1.$$

Proof. Set $\mathbf{j} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p^n = \sqcup_{l=0}^{\infty} d(l, \mathbf{j})V_{n, \mathbf{j}}$, it is sufficient to show inequality (3.11) for $\xi \in d(l, \mathbf{j})V_{n, \mathbf{j}}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $\xi_i = p^{lw_i} \eta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, with $\eta_i \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}$, since f is quasielliptic

$$f(\xi) = f(p^{lw_1} \eta_1, \dots, p^{lw_n} \eta_n) = p^{ld} f(\eta),$$

and $|f(\xi)|_p = p^{-ld} |f(\eta)|_p$. By using the fact that $V_{n, \mathbf{j}}$ is compact and $0 \notin V_{n, \mathbf{j}}$ we have

$$p^{-ld} \inf_{\eta \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}} |f(\eta)|_p \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq p^{-ld} \sup_{\eta \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}} |f(\eta)|_p,$$

with $\inf_{\eta \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}} |f(\eta)|_p > 0$.

On the other hand,

$$(3.12) \quad \Xi(\xi) = p^{-ld} \sum_{i=1}^n |\eta_i|_p^{\frac{d}{w_i}} \geq p^{-ld} C_2,$$

where $C_2 := \inf_{\eta \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\eta_i|_p^{\frac{d}{w_i}} \right) > 0$. Since $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \Xi(\xi) \leq np^{-ld}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\Xi(\xi)}{n} \inf_{\eta \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}} |f(\eta)|_p \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq \frac{\Xi(\xi)}{C_2} \sup_{\eta \in V_{n, \mathbf{j}}} |f(\eta)|_p.$$

□

As a consequence of Propositions 3.8-3.9, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 3.10. *Let $f(\xi)$ be a quasielliptic polynomial, then there exist positive constants A_0, A_1 such that*

$$(3.14) \quad A_0 \Xi(\xi) \leq |f(\xi)|_p \leq A_1 \Xi(\xi), \text{ for any } \xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n.$$

4. SEMI-QUASIELLIPTIC POLYNOMIALS

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the usual inner product of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 4.1. *A polynomial of the form*

$$(4.1) \quad F(\xi, x) := f(\xi) + \sum_{\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \leq d-1} c_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \xi^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n],$$

where $f(\xi)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}$, and each $c_{\mathbf{k}}(x) : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfies $\|c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\|_{L^\infty} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n} |c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)|_p < \infty$, is called a semi-quasielliptic polynomial with variable coefficients, or simply a semi-quasielliptic polynomial.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $F(\xi, x)$ be a semi-quasielliptic polynomial, then there exist positive constants, A_0, A_1, M_0 ($M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$), which do not depend on x , such that*

$$(4.2) \quad A_0 \Xi(\xi) \leq |F(\xi, x)|_p \leq A_1 \Xi(\xi), \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}.$$

Proof. We use all the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 3.8. Without

loss of generality we assume that $\mathbf{j} = \left(-1, \dots, \underbrace{-1}_{r\text{-th place}}, 1, \dots, 1 \right)$, with $1 \leq r \leq n$, and set $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n(\mathbf{j})$.

If $r < n$, we write $F(\xi, x) = f_j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) + t(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\xi^{\mathbf{k}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\xi, x)|_p &= |p^{-ld}f_j(\eta) + p^{-la}t_1(\eta) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p^{-l\delta_{\mathbf{k}}}\eta^{\mathbf{k}}c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)|_p \\ &= p^{ld}|f_j(\eta) + p^{l(d-a)}t_1(\eta) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p^{l(d-\delta_{\mathbf{k}})}\eta^{\mathbf{k}}c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)|_p \end{aligned}$$

with $a < d$, since $r < n$, and $\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i w_i \leq d-1 < d$. By Lemma 3.7, if $l > \max\left(\left\{\frac{M}{d-a}\right\} \cup \cup_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{\frac{M+\log_p \|c(x)\|_{L^\infty}}{d-\delta_{\mathbf{k}}}\right\}\right)$, then $|F(\xi, x)|_p = p^{ld}|f_j(\eta)|_p$ and

$$p^{ld} \inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p \leq |F(\xi, x)|_p \leq p^{ld} \sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p.$$

By using inequalities (3.13) and (3.12), we conclude

$$\frac{\Xi(\xi)}{n} \inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p \leq |F(\xi, x)|_p \leq \frac{\Xi(\xi)}{C_1} \sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p.$$

On the other hand, if $\|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}$, and

$$M_0 > (w_1 + \dots + w_n) \max\left(\left\{\frac{M}{d-a}\right\} \cup \cup_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{\frac{M+\log_p \|c(x)\|_{L^\infty}}{d-\delta_{\mathbf{k}}}\right\}\right),$$

since $p^{l(w_1+\dots+w_n)} \geq \|\xi\|_p$, then we have $l > \max\left(\left\{\frac{M}{d-a}\right\} \cup \cup_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{\frac{M+\log_p \|c(x)\|_{L^\infty}}{d-\delta_{\mathbf{k}}}\right\}\right)$, and

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\Xi(\xi)}{n} \inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p \leq |F(\xi, x)|_p \leq \frac{\Xi(\xi)}{C_1} \sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p, \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}.$$

If $r = n$, then

$$|F(\xi, x)|_p = |p^{-ld}f_j(\eta) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p^{-l\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} \eta^{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)|_p = |p^{-ld}f_j(\eta)|_p,$$

for $l > \max\left(\cup_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{\frac{M+\log_p \|c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\|_{L^\infty}}{d-\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}\right\}\right)$. If we choose $\|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}$ with $M_0 > (w_1 + \dots + w_n) \max\left(\cup_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{\frac{M+\log_p \|c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\|_{L^\infty}}{d-\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}\right\}\right)$, we have $l > \max\left(\cup_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{\frac{M+\log_p \|c_{\mathbf{k}}(x)\|_{L^\infty}}{d-\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}\right\}\right)$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\Xi(\xi)}{n} \inf_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p \leq |F(\xi, x)|_p \leq \frac{\Xi(\xi)}{C_1} \sup_{\eta \in U_{n,j}} |f_j(\eta)|_p, \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0}.$$

The result follows from inequalities (4.3)-(4.4). $\square$

5. PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH QUASIELLIPTIC SYMBOLS

For $\alpha \geq 0$, we set

$$(\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi)(x) := \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1} (|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}(\phi)),$$

where $\alpha > 0$, ϕ is a Lizorkin type function, and $f(\xi)$ is a quasielliptic polynomial of degree d with respect to $\mathbf{w}$. We call an extension of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$ a *pseudo-differential operator with quasielliptic symbol*.

In this section we study equations of type $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = v$, indeed we determine the functions spaces on which a such equation has a solution.

5.1. Sobolev-type spaces.

Definition 5.1. Given $\beta \geq 0$ and $\Xi(\xi)$ as before, we define for $\phi \in S$ the following norm:

$$\|\phi\|_{(\beta, \Xi)}^2 := \|\phi\|_\beta^2 = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi.$$

Set

$$\Phi := \{\phi \in S; \mathcal{F}(\phi)(0) = 0\},$$

and $\tilde{H}_{(\beta, \Xi)}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) := \tilde{H}_\beta$ as the completion of $(\Phi, \|\cdot\|_\beta)$.

Remark 5.2. The space Φ is the Lizorkin space of the second kind introduced in [2].

Lemma 5.3. Set $\beta \geq \alpha$. The operator

$$\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : (\Phi, \|\cdot\|_\beta) \rightarrow (\Phi, \|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha})$$

$$\phi \mapsto \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi,$$

is well-defined and continuous.

Proof. Note that $\phi \in \Phi$ implies that $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi \in \Phi$. The continuity of the operator follows from Theorem 3.10:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi\|_{\beta-\alpha}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2(\beta-\alpha)}(\xi) |f(\xi)|_p^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq A_1 \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2(\beta-\alpha)}(\xi) \Xi^{2\alpha}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &= A_1 \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi = A_1 \|\phi\|_\beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

By density, we extend $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$ to $\overline{(\Phi, \|\cdot\|_\beta)} = \tilde{H}_\beta$.

Lemma 5.4. Set $\beta \geq \alpha$. The operator

$$\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\beta \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$$

$$\phi \mapsto \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi,$$

is well-defined and continuous.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Im}(\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)) \subseteq \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$. Set $\theta \in \text{Im}(\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha))$, i.e. $\theta = \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi$ for some $\phi \in \tilde{H}_\beta$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\phi_l\} \subseteq \Phi$ such that $\phi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \phi$. Define $\theta_l = \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi_l \in \Phi$, by the continuity of the operator $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$, we have $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha}} \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi$, i.e. $\theta_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha}} \theta$, hence $\theta \in \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$. □

Theorem 5.5. Set $\beta \geq \alpha$. The operator

$$\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\beta \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$$

$$\phi \mapsto \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi,$$

is a bicontinuous isomorphism of Banach spaces.

Proof. After Lemmas 5.3-5.4, $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\beta \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$ is a well-defined continuous operator. We now prove the surjectivity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$. Set $\varphi \in \tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}$, then there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{\varphi_l\} \subseteq \Phi$ converging to φ , i.e. $\varphi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha}} \varphi$. For each φ_l we define u_l as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}(u_l)(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\varphi_l)(\xi)}{|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} & \xi \neq 0 \\ 0 & \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $u_l \in \Phi$ and $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u_l = \varphi_l$. The sequence $\{u_l\}$ is a Cauchy sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_l - u_m\|_\beta^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(u_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(u_m)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(\varphi_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_m)(\xi)}{|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} \right|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta-2\alpha}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_m)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq C_1 \|\varphi_l - \varphi_m\|_{\beta-\alpha}^2, \end{aligned}$$

cf. Theorem 3.10. Therefore $u_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} u \in \tilde{H}_\beta$. By the continuity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$, we have $\varphi_l = \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha}} \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u$ and by the uniqueness of the limit in a metric space, we conclude $\varphi = \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{\beta-\alpha} &= \|\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u\|_{\beta-\alpha} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u_l\|_{\beta-\alpha} \\ &\leq A_1^\alpha \|u\|_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

cf. Theorem 3.10, which shows the continuity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)^{-1}$.

Finally we show the injectivity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = 0$ has a solution $u \in \tilde{H}_\beta$, $u \neq 0$. We may assume that $\|u\|_\beta = 1$. There exists a sequence $\{u_l\} \subset \Phi$ such that $u_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} u$, in addition, we may assume that $\|u_l\|_\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Set $\varphi_l := \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u_l$. It follows from the continuity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$ that $\varphi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha}} 0$. On the other hand, by using Theorem 3.10, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_l\|_{\beta-\alpha}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2(\beta-\alpha)}(\xi) |f(\xi)|_p^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F}(u_l)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\geq A_0^{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\mathcal{F}(u_l)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi = A_0^{2\alpha} \|u_l\|_\beta^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} A_0^{2\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $\varphi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta-\alpha}} 0$. $\square$

5.2. Invariant spaces under the action of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$. Consider on $\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$ the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_\beta; \beta \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $(\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \|\cdot\|_\beta; \beta \in \mathbb{N})$ becomes a locally convex space, which is metrizable. Indeed,

$$(5.1) \quad \rho(x, y) = \max_{\beta} \left\{ c_\beta \frac{\|x - y\|_\beta}{1 + \|x - y\|_\beta} \right\},$$

where $\{c_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null-sequence of positive numbers, is a metric for the topology of X , see e.g. [10].

Since $\{c_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null-sequence, there exists $c > 0$ such that $c_\beta \leq c$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore

$$(5.2) \quad \rho(x, y) = \max_{\beta} \left\{ c_\beta \frac{\|x - y\|_\beta}{1 + \|x - y\|_\beta} \right\} \leq c \max_{\beta} \{\|x - y\|_\beta\}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *The sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$ converges to $y \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$ in the metric ρ , if and only if $\{x_n\}$ converges to y in the norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proposition 5.7. (1) *Set (Φ, ρ) for the completion of the metric space (Φ, ρ) , and $\tilde{H}_\infty := (\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho)$ for the completion of the metric space $(\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho)$. Then*

$$\overline{(\Phi, \rho)} = \tilde{H}_\infty,$$

as complete metric spaces.

(2) $\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta \neq \emptyset$ and $\tilde{H}_\infty = (\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho)$.

Proof. (1) Set $\phi \in \overline{(\Phi, \rho)}$, then there exists a sequence $\{\phi_l\} \subseteq \Phi$ such that $\phi_l \xrightarrow{\rho} \phi$. By the previous lemma $\phi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \phi$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\phi \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$. Therefore, $\overline{(\Phi, \rho)} \subseteq (\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho) \subseteq \overline{(\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho)}$.

Conversely, set $\varphi \in \tilde{H}_\infty$, then there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_l\} \subseteq \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$ satisfying $\varphi_l \xrightarrow{\rho} \varphi$. Then, for each φ_l and for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an element $\varphi_{\beta, m(l)} \in \Phi$ such that $\|\varphi_{\beta, m(l)} - \varphi_l\|_\beta < \frac{1}{l+1}$, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varphi_{\beta, m(l)}, \varphi) &\leq \rho(\varphi_{\beta, m(l)}, \varphi_l) + \rho(\varphi_l, \varphi) \\ &\leq c \max_{\beta} \|\varphi_{\beta, m(l)} - \varphi_l\|_\beta + \rho(\varphi_l, \varphi) \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{l+1} + \rho(\varphi_l, \varphi) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used inequality (5.2). This shows that the sequence $\{\varphi_{\beta, m(l)}\} \subseteq \Phi$ satisfies $\varphi_{\beta, m(l)} \xrightarrow{\rho} \varphi$, and thus $\varphi \in \overline{(\Phi, \rho)}$.

(2) It follows from the first part. $\square$

Proposition 5.8. *The operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\infty &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty \\ \phi &\mapsto \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi, \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and continuous.

Proof. Set $\phi \in \tilde{H}_\infty = (\cap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\gamma, \rho)$. Take $\gamma = \beta + \alpha$, with $\beta \geq 0$. By using Theorem 5.5 we find that $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi \in \tilde{H}_\beta$ for all $\beta \geq 0$, thus, $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi \in (\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho)$.

In order to prove the continuity of the operator, let $\{\phi_l\} \subseteq \cap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\gamma$ be a sequence such that $\rho(\phi_l, \phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, with $\phi \in \cap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\gamma$. By Lemma 5.6 $\|\phi_l - \phi\|_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\gamma = \beta + \alpha$, with $\beta \geq 0$. Then $\|\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi_l - \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi\|_\beta \rightarrow 0$ (cf. Theorem 5.5). Therefore, $\rho(\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi_l, \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Lemma 5.6), and thus the operator defined over $(\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta, \rho)$ is continuous. $\square$

Theorem 5.9. *The operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\infty &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty \\ \phi &\mapsto \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)\phi, \end{aligned}$$

is a bicontinuous isomorphism of locally convex vector spaces.

Proof. After Proposition 5.8, the operator $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha) : \tilde{H}_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty$ is well-defined and continuous. We now prove the surjectivity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$. Set $\phi \in \tilde{H}_\infty$, then there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{\phi_l\} \subseteq \Phi$ satisfying $\phi_l \xrightarrow{\rho} \phi$. For each ϕ_l we can define u_l as in the proof of Theorem 5.5:

$$\mathcal{F}(u_l)(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\phi_l)(\xi)}{\|\mathbf{f}(\xi)\|_p^\alpha}, & \xi \neq 0 \\ 0 & \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{u_l\} \subseteq \Phi$ is a Cauchy sequence in each norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ and therefore is a Cauchy sequence in the metric ρ . Therefore there exists $u \in \tilde{H}_\infty$ such that $u_l \xrightarrow{\rho} u$, then by using the continuity of the operator, cf. Proposition 5.8, we have $\phi_l = \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u_l \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u$, i.e. $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = \phi$. The continuity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)^{-1}$ is established as in the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Finally, we show the injectivity of $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = 0$ has a solution $u \in \tilde{H}_\infty$. Since $\tilde{H}_\infty = \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$, cf. Proposition 5.7, $\mathbf{f}(D; \alpha)u = 0$ in all $\tilde{H}_\beta$, by the proof of Theorem 5.5, we know that it is possible only for $u = 0$. $\square$

Remark 5.10. *The Taibleson operator D_T^α , with $\alpha > 0$, is defined as $D_T^\alpha \phi(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1} \left(\|\xi\|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}(\phi) \right)$ for $\phi \in S$. The operator*

$$\begin{aligned} D_T^\alpha : \tilde{H}_\infty &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty \\ \phi &\mapsto D_T^\alpha \phi, \end{aligned}$$

is a bicontinuous isomorphism of locally convex vector spaces. The proof of this results is similar to the one given for Theorem 5.9.

6. INFINITELY PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

6.1. A Family of infinitely pseudo-differentiable functions. Set

$$\Omega_l(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|x\|_p \leq p^l \\ 0 & \text{if } \|x\|_p > p^l, \end{cases}$$

for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\varphi_{r,t}(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left((1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} \right)$ for $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $t, \alpha > 0$, and $\varphi_{l,r,t}(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left((1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) \Omega_l(\xi) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} \right)$ for $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, with $l > r$, and $Z(x, t, \alpha) := Z(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Psi(x \cdot \xi) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} d^n \xi$.

Remark 6.1. *Let $\{\theta_l\} \subset L^2$ and $\theta \in L^2$. We recall that if*

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\theta_l(\xi) - \theta(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi = 0,$$

then by the Chebishev inequality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{vol} \left(\left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; |\theta_l(\xi) - \theta(\xi)| \geq \delta \right\} \right) = 0,$$

for any $\delta \geq 0$ (here $\text{vol}(A)$ means the Haar measure of A), i.e. $\theta_l \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \theta$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. We also recall that if

$$\varphi_l \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \tilde{\varphi}_0 \text{ and } \varphi_l \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \tilde{\varphi}_1,$$

then $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_1$ almost everywhere.

Lemma 6.2. *With the above notation, $\varphi_{l,r,t}(x) \in \Phi$.*

Proof. Note that the support of $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{l,r,t})(\xi)$ is $A := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; p^{-r+1} \leq \|\xi\|_p \leq p^l \right\}$, which is a compact subset. By applying Lemma 3.7 (2) to f and A , we get a finite number of points $\tilde{\xi}_i \in A$, such that

$$\varphi_{l,r,t}(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1} \left(\sum_i e^{-t|f(\tilde{\xi}_i)|_p^\alpha} \Omega_{M+R+1}(\xi - \tilde{\xi}_i) \right),$$

which shows that $\varphi_{l,r,t}(x) \in \Phi$. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *With the above notation, the following assertions hold: (1) $\{\varphi_{l,r,t}\}_l$ is Cauchy sequence in $\tilde{H}_\infty$, (2) $\varphi_{l,r,t} \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \varphi_{r,t}$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. (1) Take $m \geq l > r$, then $\|\varphi_{m,r,t} - \varphi_{l,r,t}\|_\beta^2$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) | (1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) \Omega_m(\xi) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} - (1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) \Omega_l(\xi) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} |^2 d^n \xi \\ & \leq \int_{p^{l+1} \leq \|\xi\|_p \leq p^m} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) e^{-2t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} d^n \xi \\ & \leq \int_{\|\xi\|_p \geq p^{l+1}} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) e^{-2A_0^\alpha t \|\xi\|_p^{\alpha d}} d^n \xi \\ & \leq n^{2\beta} \int_{\|\xi\|_p \geq p^{l+1}} \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2\beta d}{\min_i \{w_i\}}} e^{-2A_0^\alpha t \|\xi\|_p^{\alpha d}} d^n \xi, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use that $\Xi(\xi) \leq n \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{d}{\min_i \{w_i\}}}$ for $\|\xi\|_p > 1$ and Theorem 3.10. Now, since $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2\beta d}{\min_i \{w_i\}}} e^{-2A_0^\alpha t \|\xi\|_p^{\alpha d}} d^n \xi < \infty$, by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence lemma, one gets

$$\|\varphi_{m,r,t} - \varphi_{l,r,t}\|_\beta^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, l \rightarrow \infty.$$

(2) By Remark (6.1), it is sufficient to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |(1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) \Omega_l(\xi) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} - (1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) e^{-t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha}|^2 d^n \xi \\
& \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{\xi: \|\xi\|_p \geq p^{l+1}\}} e^{-2A_0^\alpha t \|\xi\|_p^{\alpha d}} d^n \xi = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

by Lebesgue dominated convergence lemma and $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} e^{-2A_0^\alpha t \|\xi\|_p^{\alpha d}} d^n \xi < \infty$. $\square$

Theorem 6.4. (1) $\varphi_{r,t}(x) \in \tilde{H}_\infty$, for any $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $t > 0$. (2) $Z(x,t) \in \tilde{H}_\infty$, for any $t > 0$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 6.3 (1), $\{\varphi_{l,r,t}\}_l$ is Cauchy sequence in $\tilde{H}_\infty$, i.e. $\varphi_{l,r,t} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \tilde{\varphi}$, for some $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{H}_\infty$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\beta = 0$, this means $\varphi_{l,r,t} \xrightarrow{L^2} \tilde{\varphi} \in L^2$, and by Remark 6.1,

$$\varphi_{l,r,t} \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \tilde{\varphi} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.3 (2),

$$\varphi_{l,r,t} \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \varphi_{r,t} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

and then by Remark 6.1, $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi_{r,t}$ almost everywhere, which implies that $\varphi_{r,t} \in \tilde{H}_\infty$.

(2) We first note that $\{\varphi_{r,t}\}_r$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\|\cdot\|_\beta$, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, set $r \geq s$, since

$$\varphi_{l,r,t} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \varphi_{r,t} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty, \text{ and } \varphi_{m,s,t} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \varphi_{s,t} \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

by the first part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_{r,t} - \varphi_{s,t}\|_\beta^2 &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_{l,r,t} - \varphi_{m,s,t}\|_\beta^2 \\
&= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{l,r,t})(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{m,s,t})(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi.
\end{aligned}$$

We now note that

$$\Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{l,r,t})(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{m,s,t})(\xi)|^2 \leq 4\Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) e^{-2t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} \in L^1,$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, t > 0$. By using the Lebesgue dominated convergence lemma and Theorem 3.10,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_{r,t} - \varphi_{s,t}\|_\beta^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{r,t})(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{s,t})(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\
&= \int_{p^{-s} < \|\xi\|_p \leq p^{-r}} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) e^{-2t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} d^n \xi \\
&\leq \int_{\|\xi\|_p \leq p^{-r}} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) e^{-2t|f(\xi)|_p^\alpha} d^n \xi \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\varphi_{r,t} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \tilde{\varphi}$, for some $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{H}_\infty$, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. By taking $\beta = 0$, we get $\varphi_{r,t} \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \tilde{\varphi}$.

On the other hand, by reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6.3, one shows that $\varphi_{r,t} \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} Z(x, t)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and then $Z(x, t) \in \tilde{H}_\infty$. $\square$

Remark 6.5. *By using the technique above presented one shows that*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left((1 - \Omega_{-r}(\xi)) e^{-t\|\xi\|_p^\alpha} \right), \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(e^{-t\|\xi\|_p^\alpha} \right) \in \tilde{H}_\infty,$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > 0$, $\alpha > 0$.

6.2. Regularization Effect in Parabolic Equations.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\varphi \in S$ and $\theta \in \tilde{H}_\infty \cap L^1$. Then $\varphi * \theta \in \tilde{H}_\infty$.*

Proof. There exists a sequence $\{\theta_l\} \subset \Phi$ such that $\theta_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \theta$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $\{\varphi * \theta_l\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\|\cdot\|_\beta$, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, let $m \geq l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi * \theta_m - \varphi * \theta_l\|_\beta^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_m)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq C(\varphi) \|\theta_m - \theta_l\|_\beta^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m, l \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists $\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{H}_\infty$ such that $\varphi * \theta_l \xrightarrow{\rho} \tilde{\theta}$. By taking $\beta = 0$ this means $\varphi * \theta_l \xrightarrow{L^2} \tilde{\theta} \in L^2$, and by Remark 6.1,

$$\varphi * \theta_l \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \tilde{\theta} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\varphi * \theta_l(\xi) - \varphi * \theta(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq C(\varphi) \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq C(\varphi) \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_l - \theta\|_{L^2}^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \theta$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Now by Remark 6.1, we have

$$\varphi * \theta_l \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \varphi * \theta \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $\tilde{\theta} = \varphi * \theta$, almost everywhere, which implies that $\varphi * \theta \in \tilde{H}_\infty$. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. (1) *Let $\theta \in \tilde{H}_\beta \cap L^1$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Set*

$$T_\theta : (S, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) \rightarrow (\tilde{H}_\beta, \|\cdot\|_\beta)$$

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi * \theta,$$

for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\varphi * \theta \in \tilde{H}_\infty$. Then T_θ is a linear continuous mapping for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) The mapping T_θ has unique continuous extension from L^2 into $\tilde{H}_\infty$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{H}_\infty = \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$, cf. Proposition 5.7 (2), and by using Lemma 6.6, we have T_θ is well-defined. Let $\{\theta_l\} \subset \Phi$ be a sequence such that

$$(6.2) \quad \theta_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\beta} \theta \text{ for any } \beta \in \mathbb{N}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 6.6. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi * \theta\|_\beta^2 &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi * \theta_l\|_\beta^2 \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking $\beta = 0$ in (6.2), one gets $\mathcal{F}(\theta_l) \xrightarrow{L^2} \mathcal{F}(\theta)$, and by Remark 6.1,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{vol}(\{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)| > \delta\}) = 0,$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Fix $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, then there exists $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(6.3) \quad \text{vol}(V_{\delta,l}) := \text{vol}(\{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)| > \delta\}) < \epsilon, \text{ for } l \geq l_0.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi * \theta\|_\beta^2 &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{V_{\delta,l}} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \setminus V_{\delta,l}} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi \right) \\ &:= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned}$$

We now consider $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} I_1$. Since $\Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi)|^2$ is a continuous function with compact support,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} I_1 \leq \left(\sup_{\xi \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(\varphi))} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi)|^2 \right) \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{vol}(V_{\delta,l}) = 0.$$

We now study $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} I_2$. Since $\theta \in L^1$, then $\mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)$ uniformly continuous and $|\mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\xi\|_p \rightarrow \infty$ (Riemann-Lebesgue theorem), from these facts follow that $|\mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)|^2 \leq C(\theta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} I_2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \setminus V_{\delta,l}} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 (|\mathcal{F}(\theta_l)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)| + |\mathcal{F}(\theta)(\xi)|)^2 d^n \xi \\ &\leq (\delta + C(\theta))^2 \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \Xi^{2\beta}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi = (\delta + C(\theta))^2 \|\varphi\|_\beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$\|\varphi * \theta\|_\beta \leq (\delta + C(\theta)) \|\varphi\|_\beta, \text{ for any } \beta \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(2) By the first part, using the fact that S is dense in L^2 and that $\tilde{H}_\infty = \cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_\beta$, we obtain a unique continuous extension $T_\theta : L^2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty$. $\square$

Example 6.8. The mapping $T : L^2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty$, $\phi \rightarrow \phi * Z(\cdot, t)$, for any $t > 0$, is continuous, since $Z(\cdot, t) \in L^1$, for any $t > 0$, see [13, Corollary 1].

Let $C_b(\mathbb{Q}_p^n, \mathbb{C})$ denote the space of continuous and bounded functions from $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 6.9. Let $f(D, \alpha)$ be an elliptic pseudo-differential operator. If $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) \cap C_b(\mathbb{Q}_p^n, \mathbb{C})$, then the Cauchy problem

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + (f(D, \alpha) u)(x, t) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \end{cases}$$

has a classical solution:

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} Z(\eta, t) \varphi(x - \eta) d^n \eta,$$

which belongs to $\tilde{H}_\infty$, for every fixed $t > 0$.

Proof. The fact that the initial value problem (6.4) has a classical solution follows from Lemma 5 in [13], which requires an estimation for $Z(x, t)$ showing its decay in space and time, such estimation is only known in the case in which f is quasielliptic of degree d with respect to $(1, \dots, 1)$, see [13, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1]. The fact $u(x, t) \in \tilde{H}_\infty(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, for $t > 0$, follows from Lemma 6.7 (2). $\square$

Remark 6.10. Theorem 5.9 is valid for the Taibleson operator, i.e. if we replace the operator $f(D, \alpha)$ by D_T^α in the statement of Theorem 5.9, then announced conclusion is valid. The existence of a classical solution follows from the results of [8], and the fact that $u(x, t) \in \tilde{H}_\infty$ follows from Lemma 6.6 and Remark 6.5.

7. PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH VARIABLE SEMI-QUASI-ELLIPTIC SYMBOLS

In this section we consider pseudo-differential operators of the form

$$\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)\phi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|F(\xi, x)|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi)),$$

where $\alpha > 0$, ϕ is a Lizorkin type function, and with $F(\xi, x)$ as in (4.1). We call an extension of $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)$ a *pseudo-differential operator with semi-quasielliptic symbol*. In this section we determine the functions spaces where the equation $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)u = v$ has a solution.

7.1. Sobolev-type spaces.

Definition 7.1. Given $\beta \geq 0$ and $\Xi(\xi)$ as before, for $\phi \in S$, we define the following norm on S :

$$\|\phi\|_{(\beta, \Xi)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} [\max(1, \Xi(\xi))]^{2\beta} |\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)|^2 d^n \xi.$$

Set

$$\Phi_{M_0} := \{\phi \in S; \mathcal{F}(\phi)|_{B_{M_0}} \equiv 0\},$$

where $B_{M_0}(0) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n; \|\xi\|_p \leq p^{M_0}\}$, and the constant M_0 comes from inequality (4.2). We define $\tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$ as the completion of $(\Phi_{M_0}, \|\cdot\|_{(\beta, \Xi)})$.

Remark 7.2. If $\gamma \leq \beta$, then $\|\phi\|_{(\gamma, \Xi)} \leq \|\phi\|_{(\beta, \Xi)}$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Also, $\|\phi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\phi\|_{(\beta, \Xi)}$ for all $\beta \geq 0$.

Lemma 7.3. (1) If $\beta > \frac{n \max_i \{w_i\}}{2d}$, then $I(\beta) := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} [\max(1, \Xi(\xi))]^{-2\beta} d^n \xi < \infty$.
(2) Take $\beta > \frac{n \max_i \{w_i\}}{2d}$. If $\phi \in \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$, then ϕ is a uniformly continuous function.

Proof. (1) We first note that

$$(7.1) \quad \Xi(\xi) \geq \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{d}{\max_i \{w_i\}}}, \text{ for } \|\xi\|_p > 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\beta) &= \int_{\|\xi\|_p \leq 1} [\max(1, \Xi(\xi))]^{-2\beta} d^n \xi + \int_{\|\xi\|_p > 1} [\max(1, \Xi(\xi))]^{-2\beta} d^n \xi \\ &:= I_1(\beta) + I_2(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\max(1, \Xi(\xi))]^{-2\beta}$ is a continuous function, $I_1(\beta) < \infty$. We now note that $\|\xi\|_p > 1$ implies $\Xi(\xi) > 1$, and by (7.1), one gets

$$I_2(\beta) \leq \int_{\|\xi\|_p > 1} \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{-2\beta d}{\max_i \{w_i\}}} d^n \xi,$$

and this last integral converges if $\frac{2\beta d}{\max_i \{w_i\}} > n$.

(2) It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{F}(\phi) \in L^1$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)| d^n \xi \leq \|\phi\|_{\beta} \sqrt{I(\beta)} < \infty.$$

□

Theorem 7.4. Set $\beta \geq \alpha$. The operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x) : \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)} &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_{(\beta-\alpha, M_0)} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)\varphi \end{aligned}$$

is a bicontinuous isomorphism of Banach spaces. In addition, if $\beta > \alpha + \frac{n \max_i \{w_i\}}{2d}$ and $\phi \in \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$, then ϕ is a uniformly continuous function.

Proof. By using the same ideas given in the proofs of Lemmas (5.3)-(5.4), one shows that the operator $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x) : \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{(\beta-\alpha, M_0)}$, $\varphi \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)\varphi$ is well-defined and continuous. In order to prove the surjectivity, set $\phi \in \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$, then there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{\phi_l\} \subseteq \Phi$ converging to ϕ , i.e. $\phi_l \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\beta}} \phi$. For each ϕ_l we define u_l as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}(u_l)(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\phi_l)(\xi)}{|\mathcal{F}(\xi, x)|_p^\alpha} & \|\xi\|_p \geq p^{M_0+1} \\ 0 & \|\xi\|_p \leq p^{M_0}. \end{cases}$$

By using the argument given in the proof of Theorem 5.5, one gets that $\{u_l\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and if $u = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} u_l$, then $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)u = \phi$. The injectivity of $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)$ is established as in the proof of Theorem 5.5. Finally, the last assertion is Lemma 7.3. $\square$

7.2. Invariant spaces over the action of $\mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)$. We consider $\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$ as a locally convex space, the topology comes from the metric (5.1). Set $\tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)} := \overline{(\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}, \rho)}$ for the completion of $(\cap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}, \rho)$, and $\overline{(\Phi_{M_0}, \rho)}$ for the completion of (Φ_{M_0}, ρ) .

Propositions 5.7, 5.8, and Theorem 5.9 have a counterpart in the spaces $\tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$, $\tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)}$, and the corresponding proofs are easy variations of the proofs given in Section 5.2. For instance,

$$\tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)} = \overline{(\Phi_{M_0}, \rho)} = \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{H}_{(\beta, M_0)}$$

as complete metric spaces. By Lemma 7.3 all the elements of $\tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)}$ are uniformly continuous functions. The proof of the following results follows from Lemma 7.3 by using the proof of Theorem 5.9.

Theorem 7.5. *The operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x) : \tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)} &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_{(\infty, M_0)} \\ \phi &\mapsto \mathbf{F}(D; \alpha; x)\phi, \end{aligned}$$

is a bicontinuous isomorphism of locally convex vector spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albeverio, S., Khrennikov, A. Yu., Shelkovich, V. M., Theory of p -adic distributions: linear and nonlinear models. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 370. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [2] Albeverio, S., Khrennikov, A. Yu., Shelkovich, V. M., Harmonic analysis in the p -adic Lizorkin spaces: fractional operators, pseudo-differential equations, p -adic wavelets, Tauberian theorems, J. Fourier Anal. App. **12**:4 (2006), 393-425.
- [3] Borievich Z. I., Shafarevich I. R., Number Theory, Academic Press, New York, (1966).
- [4] Dragovich B., Khrennikov A. Yu., Kozyrev S. V., Volovich I. V., On p -adic mathematical physics, P-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **1** (2009), no. 1, 1–17.
- [5] Gindikin S., Volevich L. R., The method of Newton's polyhedron in the theory of partial differential equations. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 86. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [6] Haran Shai, Quantizations and symbolic calculus over the p -adic numbers, Ann. Inst. Fourier **43**, No.4, 997-1053 (1993).
- [7] Kochubei A. N., Pseudo-differential equations and stochastics over non-Archimedean fields, Pure and Applied Mathematics **244**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [8] Rodríguez-Vega, J. J., Zúñiga-Galindo, W. A., Taibleson operators, p -adic parabolic equations and ultrametric diffusion, Pacific J. Math. **237** (2008), no. 2, 327–347.
- [9] Rodríguez-Vega, J. J., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Elliptic pseudodifferential equations and Sobolev spaces over p -adic fields. Pacific J. Math., **246** (2010), no. 2, 407–420.
- [10] Rudin W., Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill Company, (1973).
- [11] Veys W. and Zúñiga-Galindo W. A, Zeta functions for analytic mappings, log-principalization of ideals, and newton polyhedra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2205–2227.
- [12] Vladimirov V. S., Volovich I. V., Zelenov E. I., p -adic analysis and mathematical physics, Series on Soviet and East European Mathematics **1**, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1994.

- [13] Zúñiga-Galindo W.A., Parabolic Equations and Markov Processes Over p -adic Fields, Potential Anal. 28, (2008), 185-200.
- [14] Zúñiga-Galindo W.A., Local Zeta Functions Supported on Analytic Sets and Newton Polyhedra, International Mathematics Research Notices 2009; doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnp035.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO NACIONAL, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS- UNIDAD QUERÉTARO, LIBRAMIENTO NORPONIENTE #2000, FRACC. REAL DE JURIQUILLA. SANTIAGO DE QUERÉTARO, QRO. 76230, MÉXICO, AND UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

E-mail address: `jgaleano@math.cinvestav.mx` and `jgaleanop@unal.edu.co`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO NACIONAL, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS- UNIDAD QUERÉTARO, LIBRAMIENTO NORPONIENTE #2000, FRACC. REAL DE JURIQUILLA. SANTIAGO DE QUERÉTARO, QRO. 76230, MÉXICO

E-mail address: `wazuniga@math.cinvestav.edu.mx`